# Discrete-continuum interplay: formulations for supervised and semi-supervised learning

#### Franca Hoffmann

Hausdorff Center for Mathematics University of Bonn

July 28, 2021

LMS/ICMS Workshop Analytic and Geometric Approaches to Machine Learning





# Goals

- Graph Laplacians as tools for data analysis;
- Understanding parameter choices in
  - spectral clustering algorithms,
  - semi-supervised learning (SSL) algorithms;
- Continuum Limits of Graph Laplacians & their properties:
  - weighted elliptic operators (PDE theory),
  - insights on discrete algorithms,
  - new continuum algorithms;

collaboration with:

Bamdad Hosseini, Assad A. Oberai, Andrew M. Stuart (preprint 2020)

Bamdad Hosseini, Zhi Ren, Andrew M. Stuart (JMLR 2020)

# Graph-Based Clustering

# What is spectral clustering?

 $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $W_{ij}$  =measure of similarity between  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ .

- ▶ Input: Similarity graph (X, W).
- **Output:** Clusters  $A_1, \ldots, A_K$

Two steps of spectral clustering:

- 1. Embedding step  $\mathcal{F}_N : X \to \mathbb{R}^K$ .
- 2. Clustering step on  $\mathcal{F}_N(x_1), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_N(x_N)$  (e.g. K-means)

**Question:** How to choose  $\mathcal{F}_N$ ?

# What is spectral clustering?

 $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\} \subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $W_{ij}$  =measure of similarity between  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ .

- ▶ Input: Similarity graph (X, W).
- **Output:** Clusters  $A_1, \ldots, A_K$

Two steps of spectral clustering:

- 1. Embedding step  $\mathcal{F}_N : X \to \mathbb{R}^K$ .
- 2. Clustering step on  $\mathcal{F}_N(x_1), \ldots, \mathcal{F}_N(x_N)$  (e.g. K-means)

**Question:** How to choose  $\mathcal{F}_N$ ?

 $\Rightarrow$  Low-lying eigenfunctions of graph Laplacian:  $\mathcal{F}_N(x_i) = (u_1(x_i), ..., u_K(x_i))^T$ 

# Graph Laplacians for Data Clustering

- *N* vertices  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^N \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- Suitable kernel  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ .
- Edge weights  $\tilde{W}_{ij} = \eta \left( |x_i x_j| \right)$ .
- Degree matrix  $\tilde{D} = \text{diag}(\tilde{d}_i)$ ,  $\tilde{d}_i = \sum_j \tilde{W}_{ij}$ .
- Reweighted similarity matrix:  $W_{ij} := \tilde{W}_{ij} / \left( \tilde{d}_i^{\alpha} \tilde{d}_j^{\alpha} \right).$
- Reweighted degrees:  $D = diag(d_i)$ .

#### Graph Laplacian

For  $s,t\in\mathbb{R}$ ,  $L:=D^{-s}\left(D-W
ight)D^{-t}$ 

Dirichlet energy (s = 0, t = 0):

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{L}\mathbf{u} 
angle = rac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} W_{ij} \left| u_i - u_j 
ight|^2 \, .$$







# Graph Laplacians for Data Clustering

#### Graph Laplacian

For  $s,t\in\mathbb{R},$   $L:=D^{-s}\left(D-W
ight)D^{-t}$ 

Dirichlet energy:

$$egin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathcal{L}\mathbf{u} 
angle_{(s,t)} &= \langle \mathcal{D}^{s-t}\mathbf{u}, \mathcal{L}\mathbf{u} 
angle \ &= rac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \mathcal{W}_{ij} \left| rac{u_i}{d_i^t} - rac{u_j}{d_j^t} 
ight|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Fiedler vector



#### If X has K disconnected components:

- ▶ Eigenvalues:  $0 = \lambda_1^N = ... = \lambda_K^N < \lambda_{K+1}^N \le ... \le \lambda_N^N$
- ▶ Eigenvectors:  $u_{1,N}, \ldots, u_{K,N}$  proportional to  $D^{-t}\mathbb{1}$  on components.

# Continuum Limits of Graph Laplacians



# Continuum Limit of Graph Laplacians

- Vertices  $x_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \rho$ .
- Graph Laplacian:

$$L = D^{-s}(D - W)D^{-t}.$$

Weighted Elliptic Operator:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &: u \mapsto -\frac{1}{\rho^p} \mathsf{div} \left( \rho^q \nabla \left( \frac{u}{\rho^r} \right) \right) \text{ on } \Omega, \\ \rho^q \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left( \frac{u}{\rho^r} \right) &= 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \end{split}$$



 $\implies$  Goal: Explore properties of  $\mathcal L$  for continuum data clustering and classification algorithms.

# Continuum Limit of Graph Laplacians

•  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^N$  i.i.d. from density  $\rho$  on  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ .

$$\blacktriangleright \quad \tilde{W}_{ij} = \eta_{\delta}(|x_i - x_j|), \quad \eta_{\delta} = \frac{1}{\delta^d} \eta\left(\frac{|\cdot|}{\delta}\right).$$

Graph Laplacian:

$$L = D^{-s}(D-W)D^{-t}, \qquad W = \tilde{D}^{-\alpha}\tilde{W}\tilde{D}^{-\alpha}.$$

Weighted Elliptic Operator:

$$\mathcal{L}: u \mapsto -rac{1}{
ho^p} \mathsf{div} \left( 
ho^q 
abla \left( rac{u}{
ho^r} 
ight) 
ight) \,.$$

#### Theorem

The new family of operators  $\mathcal L$  arises from L in the limit  $N \to \infty$ ,  $\delta \to 0$  with

$$s = rac{p-1}{q-1}, \quad t = rac{r}{q-1}, \quad lpha = 1 - q/2.$$

[García Trillos, Slepčev 2016 (ACHA)], [H., Hosseini, Oberai, Stuart (preprint)]

# Sketch Proof: Limits of Quadratic Forms on Graphs

Limiting Discrete Dirichlet Energy  $(p, q, r) = (1, 2, 0) \Leftrightarrow (s, t, \alpha) = (0, 0, 0)$ 

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, L\mathbf{u} 
angle \propto rac{1}{N^2 \delta^2} \sum_{j \sim k} \eta_\delta(x_j - x_k) \left| u(x_j) - u(x_k) \right|^2;$$
  
 $N \to \infty \approx \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \eta_\delta(x - y) \left| rac{u(x) - u(y)}{\delta} \right|^2 
ho(x) 
ho(y) dx dy;$   
 $\delta \to \mathbf{0} \approx C(\eta) \int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2 
ho(x)^2 dx \propto \langle u, \mathcal{L}u 
angle_{L_{\rho}^2}.$ 

<□ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Perturbation Analysis

▶ Perturbed operators: 
$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{\rho_{\varepsilon}^{p}} \mathsf{div} \left( \rho_{\varepsilon}^{q} \nabla \left( \frac{u}{\rho_{\varepsilon}^{r}} \right) \right)$$



# Spectrum of $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}$ : Two Clusters

$$\mathcal{L}_{arepsilon} = -rac{1}{
ho_{arepsilon}^{
ho}} \mathsf{div}\left(
ho_{arepsilon}^{
ho} 
abla \left(rac{u}{
ho_{arepsilon}^{
ho}}
ight)
ight)$$

#### Theorem

If p + r > 0 q > 0 and  $\epsilon \ll 1$ , then

 $\blacktriangleright \ \lambda_{1,\varepsilon} = \mathbf{0}$ 

$$\blacktriangleright \ \lambda_{2,\varepsilon} \asymp \varepsilon'$$

- ► If q > p + r:  $\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \gtrsim \varepsilon^{2(q-p-r)}$ If q = p + r:  $\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \ge \Lambda > 0$  (uniform spectral gap!) If  $q : <math>\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \gtrsim \varepsilon^{p+r-q}$
- $\blacktriangleright \ \operatorname{span}\{\phi_{1,\varepsilon},\phi_{2,\varepsilon}\}\approx \operatorname{span}\{\rho_{\varepsilon}^{r}\mathbf{1}_{\Omega^{+}},\rho_{\varepsilon}^{r}\mathbf{1}_{\Omega^{-}}\}.$



#### [H., Hosseini, Oberai, Stuart (preprint)]

# Numerical Illustration: Spectrum of graph Laplacian L

Density  $\rho_{\omega}$ 



# Multiple Clusters

## Conjecture

If the data density  $\varrho_{\varepsilon}$  concentrates on  $K \ge 2$  clusters as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , then

$$\sigma_{K,\varepsilon} \asymp \varepsilon^q, \qquad \frac{\sigma_{K,\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{K+1,\varepsilon}} \asymp \varepsilon^{\min\{q,p+r\}}.$$

# Multiple Clusters

#### Conjecture

If the data density  $\varrho_{\varepsilon}$  concentrates on  $K \ge 2$  clusters as  $\epsilon \to 0$ , then

$$\sigma_{K,\varepsilon} \simeq \varepsilon^q, \qquad \frac{\sigma_{K,\varepsilon}}{\sigma_{K+1,\varepsilon}} \simeq \varepsilon^{\min\{q,p+r\}}.$$

#### Theorem ( $\mathcal{K} = 2$ ) If p + r > 0 q > 0 and $\epsilon \ll 1$ , then $\lambda_{1,\varepsilon} = 0$ $\lambda_{2,\varepsilon} \asymp \varepsilon^{q}$ If q > p + r: $\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \sim \varepsilon^{q-(p+r)}$ If q = p + r: $\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \ge \Lambda > 0$ (uniform spectral gap!) If $q : <math>\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \ge \Lambda > 0$ (uniform spectral gap!) $f q : <math>\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \ge \Lambda > 0$ (uniform spectral gap!) $f q : <math>\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \ge \Lambda > 0$ (uniform spectral gap!) $f q : <math>\lambda_{3,\varepsilon} \ge \Lambda > 0$ (uniform spectral gap!)

# Data Classification: Semi-Supervised Learning

# Adding Label Information: Image Segmentation

- Grayscale image  $\rho$ .
- Small number of labelled pixels.
- Segment the image consistently.



# Clustering vs. Semi-Supervised Learning







# Key Idea

#### (spectral geometric content) + (observed labels) $\rightarrow$ find all labels.



•  $J \leq N$  number of observed labels.

# **Inverse Problem**

# ModelGiven $\triangleright$ graph G, $\triangleright$ observed labels $y_1, \ldots, y_J \in \{-1, +1\}, \ J < N$ ,find ground truth $\{u_1^{\dagger}, \cdots, u_N^{\dagger}\} \in \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $y_j = \operatorname{sgn}(u_j^{\dagger} + \eta_j), \quad \eta_j \stackrel{iid}{\sim} \psi_{\gamma}, \quad j \in \{1, \cdots, J\}.$

•  $\gamma > 0$  standard deviation of observation noise.

Severely ill-posed inverse problem.

# Convex Relaxation of Binary Semi-Supervised Learning

#### Probit optimization problem

Given graph G, labels y, likelihood potential  $\Phi_{\gamma}$ , parameters  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , find

$$\mathbf{u}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{u}, \tau^{-2\beta} (\mathbf{L} + \tau^2 \mathbf{I}_N)^\beta \mathbf{u} \rangle}_{\text{Convex regularization}} + \underbrace{\Phi_{\gamma} (\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{y})}_{\text{Misfit}}.$$

Bayesian formulation: connection between probability and optimization

$$egin{split} \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{u}|\mathbf{y}) \propto \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{u}) imes \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{u}) \propto \mathsf{N}(0, C) imes \expig(-\Phi_\gamma(\mathbf{u};\mathbf{y})ig) \ \propto \expig(-iggl[rac{1}{2}\langle \mathbf{u}, C^{-1}\mathbf{u}
angle + \Phi_\gamma(\mathbf{u};\mathbf{y})iggr]iggr) \end{split}$$

# Probit Likelihood Potential $\Phi_{\gamma}$

$$y_j = {\sf sgn}(u_j + \eta_j), \quad \eta_j \stackrel{\it iid}{\sim} \psi_\gamma, \quad orall j \in \{1, \cdots, J\}.$$

Likelihood

 $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{u}) \propto \Pi_{j=1}^{J} \Psi_{\gamma}(u_{j}y_{j}).$ 

Symmetric log-concave density  $\psi_{\gamma}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

 $\blacktriangleright \ \Psi_{\gamma} = \mathsf{CDF} \text{ of } \psi_{\gamma}.$ 

Misfit: Negative Log-Likelihood  $\Phi_{\gamma}(\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{y}) = -\sum_{j=1}^{J} \log \Psi_{\gamma}(u_j y_j).$ 

[Rasmussen, Williams 2006], [Bertozzi, Luo, Stuart, Zygalakis 2018]



# Probit optimization problem

Given graph G, labels y, likelihood potential  $\Phi_{\gamma}$ , parameters  $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , find

$$\mathbf{u}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{u}, \tau^{-2\beta} (\mathbf{L} + \tau^2 \mathbf{I}_N)^{\beta} \mathbf{u} \rangle}_{\text{Convex regularization}} + \underbrace{\Phi_{\gamma} (\mathbf{u}; \mathbf{y})}_{\text{Misfit}}.$$

#### Theorem

Existence and uniqueness of the probit minimizer  $\mathbf{u}^*$ .

• Asymptotic consistency: as  $\gamma \downarrow 0$ ,

$$\operatorname{sgn}(u_j^*) o \operatorname{sgn}(u_j^{\dagger}), \qquad \forall j \in \{1, \cdots, N\},$$

in a suitable sense [H., Hosseini, Ren, Stuart 2020 (JMLR)]

- Also common to use probit with  $\tau = 0$ ,  $\beta = 1$  and constrain  $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbb{1}$ . [Bertozzi, Luo, Stuart, Zygalakis 2018]
- We do not constrain  $u \perp 1$ .

# Modelling Assumptions





 $\tau = 0, \qquad \mathbf{u} \bot \mathbb{1}.$ 

・ロト・白ト・ヨト・ヨト ヨー うへぐ

# Modeling Assumptions





$$au > 0, \qquad \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

 $(N < \infty)$  Discrete probit on N vertices  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ :

- Ground truth function  $\mathbf{u}^{\dagger} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ .
- Data  $y_j = \operatorname{sgn}(u_j^{\dagger} + \gamma \eta_j), \qquad j \in \{1, \cdots, J\}.$
- Recover sign of u<sup>†</sup> by solving

$$\mathbf{u}^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathbf{u}, \tau^{-2\beta} (L + \tau^2 I)^{\beta} \mathbf{u} \rangle - \sum_{j=1}^J \log \Psi_{\gamma}(u_j y_j)$$

( $N=\infty$ ) Continuum probit on  $\Omega\subset \mathbb{R}^d$ :

- Probability density ρ on Ω.
- Ground truth function  $u^{\dagger} : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ .
- Fixed observed points  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^J \in \Omega$ .
- ► Observed data  $y_j = \operatorname{sgn}(u^{\dagger}(x_j) + \gamma \eta_j), \quad j \in \{1, \cdots, J\}.$
- Recover sign of  $u^{\dagger}$  by solving

 $u^* = \operatorname{argmin}_{u \in \mathcal{H}^{\beta}(\Omega)} \frac{1}{2} \langle u, \tau^{-2\beta} (\mathcal{L} + \tau^2 I)^{\beta} u \rangle_{\rho} - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \log \Psi_{\gamma} (u(x_j) y_j)$ 

# An Application In Image Segmentation

- Grayscale image  $\rho$ .
- Small number of labelled pixels.
- Segment the image consistently.



# An Application In Image Segmentation

- ► Laplacian parameters (p, q, r) = (1, 2, 1) $\mathcal{L}u = -\frac{1}{\rho} \operatorname{div} \left( \rho^2 \nabla \left( \frac{u}{\rho} \right) \right)$
- Solve continuum probit with eigenvalue problem solver in FEniCS.
- Probit minimizer u\* segments image.



≣ •⁄) Q (0 30 / 32

# **QUESTIONS!**

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Discussion

#### Questions for you:

- How to leverage continuum formulations for algorithm design and implementations?
- How to evaluate and compare these implementations?